

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/599,712		06/22/2000	Timothy E. Dickson	2400-370 8765		
27820	7590	04/09/2003				
		RANOVA, P.L.L.	EXAMINER			
P.O. BOX 1 CARY, NC				GORT, ELAINE L		
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3627	0	
				DATE MAILED: 04/09/2003	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/599,712	DICKSON, TIMO	THY E
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	X
	Elaine Gort	3627	¥
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence ac	idress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may within the statutory minimum of vill apply and will expire SIX (6) M cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered time IONTHS from the mailing date of this of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 M			•
, — · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	is action is non-final.		•
3) Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under a Disposition of Claims			ne merits is
4) \boxtimes Claim(s) <u>1-7 and 13-20</u> is/are pending in the a	nnlication		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	• •		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7 and 13-20</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		•	
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.	•	
Application Papers	•	•	•
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>22 June 2000</u> is/are: a)[☑ accepted or b)☐ objec	eted to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in ab	eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)□ approved b)□	disapproved by the Examir	ier.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	oly to this Office action.		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			•
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.0	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
 Certified copies of the priority documents 	s have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in	Application No	
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Stage
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	•		al application)
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro			i application).
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	• •		
Attachment(s)		•	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2.	5) Notice	ew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No of Informal Patent Application (PT	

Application/Control Number: 09/599,712

Art Unit: 3627

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Invention I. in Paper No. 8 is acknowledged.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 18 recites the limitation "said physical characteristics" in line 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting

Application/Control Number: 09/599,712 Page 3

Art Unit: 3627

directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

5. Claims 1-7, 14, 19 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by McCall et al. (US Patent 6,152,591).

McCall et al. discloses the claimed system. McCall et al. discloses a system controller (such as controller which controls pump, point of sale/transaction controller, credit card reader – uniquely identifies customer, multimedia controller and all other controllers disclosed); fuel dispensers (such as 10) in data transfer communication with said system controller, configured to dispense fuel to a vehicle; and a transaction accounting delivery station (station located in store, receipt is printed in the store when user selects "inside payment" at the pump, user uses indicia to notify clerk to reference their pump purchase) in data transfer communication with the fuel dispenser, configured to optionally deliver a transaction accounting (receipt) to the customer.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/599,712

Art Unit: 3627

7. Claims 5 and 13-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McCall et al. in view of Hartsell, Jr. et al. (US Patent 5,956,259).

McCall et al. discloses the claimed device except for vehicle presence sensors. Hartsell, Jr. et al. discloses that it is known in the art to provide vehicle fueling station systems with transponder sensors for sensing and identifying a vehicle or user's presence without the driver having to enter the information. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the system of McCall et al. with the transponder system of Hartsell, Jr. et al., in order to allow the vehicle's presence and identification of the user to be sensed without the user having to enter identifying information.

Regarding the use of biometric sensors, biometric sensors are old and well known in the art of security to prevent fraud by identifying users and it would have been obvious at the time of the invention for one of ordinary skill in the art to utilize biometrics in the system of McCall et al. to prevent fraudulent use of the system.

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elaine Gort whose telephone number is (703)308-6391. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 7:00 am to 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Olszewski, can be reached at (703)308-5183. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or processing is assigned is (703)305-7687.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-1113.

EG C

April 1, 2003

Kenneth R. Rice Primary Examiner